IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

TUESDAY, THE 15TH MAY 2007 / 25TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 15205 of 2007(I)

PETITIONER:

K.K.ABDUL JEBBAR, PROPRIETOR,
K.K.FLOUR MILL, KANDATHIL HOUSE,
ELAPPAMKAL, KALATHOOKADAVU P.O.,
MEENACHIL TALUK.

BY ADV. SRI.T.S.RADHAKRISHNA PILLAI
SRI.T.R.RAJEEV

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KOTTAYAM.

2. THE DISTRICT SUPPLY OFFICER,
KOTTAYAM.

3. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
CHENGANACHERRY.

4. THE TALUK SUPPLY OFFICER,
MEENACHIL.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.NANDAKUMAR

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15/05/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

Antony Dominic, J.

==========

W.P.(C)No.15205 of 2007

==========

Dated this the 15th day of May, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioner claims to be a dealer of foodgrains and owner of a flour mill. According to the petitioner, officers under the third respondent visited the premises of his flour mill and seized 144 gunny bags of rice alleging that the same is meant for public distribution in the State and was hoarded in the premises. Petitioner states that he has filed Ext.P7 claim petition before the first respondent and that no decision has been taken thereon. In the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner has filed this Writ Petition seeking to quash the seizure of the rice and release of the same.

2. Now that the action initiated against the petitioner is only at an early stage, I am not inclined to consider this petition on its merits. However, the petitioner having filed Ext.P7 before the first respondent, it is for the first respondent to consider and take a decision thereon. While considering Ext.P7, Ext.P6 judgment of this Court should also be taken into consideration. Such decision shall be taken within one month of receipt of a copy of the judgment. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment before the first respondent for compliance.

Writ Petition is disposed of as above.

Antony Dominic
Judge.

ess 15/5